arXiv:1112.5260v2 [math.DS] 5 Jan 2012

The variational principle of local pressure for actions of sofic group

Xiaoyao Zhou ,[†] Ercai Chen[†‡]

† *School of Mathematical Science, Nanjing Normal University,*

Nanjing 210097, Jiangsu, P.R.China

‡ *Center of Nonlinear Science, Nanjing University,*

Nanjing 210093, Jiangsu, P.R.China

e-mail: zhouxiaoyaodeyouxian@126.com ecchen@njnu.edu.cn

Abstract. This study establishes the variational principle for local pressure in the sofic context.

Keywords and phrases Local pressure; sofic group; variational principle.

1 Introduction

Variational principles are beautiful results in a dynamical system. Establishing variational principle is an important topic in dynamical system theory. The first variational principle that reveals the relationship between topological entropy and measure-theoretic entropy was obtained by L. Goodwyn [7] and T. Goodman [6]. M. Misiurewicz gave a short proof in [15]. R. Bowen [3] established the variational principle of entropy for non-compact set in 1973. Y. Pesin and B. Pitskel studied the variational principle of pressure for non-compact set.

Romagnoli [18] introduced two types of measure-theoretic entropies relative to a finite open cover and proved the variational principle between local entropy and one type. Later, Glasner and Weiss [5] proved that if the system is invertible, then the local variational principle is also true for another type measure-theoretic entropy. W. Huang & Y. Yi [10] obtained the variational principle of local pressure. W. Huang, X. Ye and G. Zhang [9] established the variational principle of local entropy for a

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37D35, 37A35

countable discrete amenable group action. B. Liang and K. Yan [14] generalized it to local pressure for sub-additive potentials of amenable group actions.

Recently, L. Bowen [1], [2] introduced a notion of entropy for measure-preserving actions of a countable discrete sofic group on a standard probability space admitting a generating finite partition. Just after that, D. Kerr and H. Li [11] established variational principle of entropy for sofic group actions. G. Zhang [21] generalized it to the variational principle of local entropy for countable infinite sofic group actions. N. Chung [4] generalized it to the variational principle of pressure for sofic group actions.

Local sofic pressure is introduced and variational principle is established in this study.

2 Preliminaries

For a set Z, denote by $\mathcal{F}(Z)$ the set of all non-empty finite subsets of Z and by $|Z|$ its cardinality. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, write $[d]$ for the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, d\}$ and $\mathrm{Sym}(d)$ for the permutation group of $[d]$. Fix G to be a countable infinite discrete sofic group with unit e in this pape (except some special statements), i.e., there exists a sequence $\{\sigma_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of maps $\sigma_i : G \to \mathrm{Sym}(d_i), g \to \sigma_{i,g}$, which is asymptotically multiplicative and free in the sense that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} |\{a \in [d_i] : \sigma_{i,st}(a) = \sigma_{i,s}\sigma_{i,t}(a)\}| = 1$$

for all $s, t \in G$ and

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} |\{a \in [d_i] : \sigma_{i,s}(a) \neq \sigma_{i,t}(a)\}| = 1$$

for all $s \neq t \in G$.

Such a sequence $\Sigma = \{\sigma_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\lim\limits_{i\to\infty} d_i = \infty$ is referred as a sofic approximation sequence of G and fix Σ in this paper.

Let (X, ρ) be a compact metric space. Denote by $M(X)$ the set of all Borel probability measures on X, by $M(X, G)$ the set of all $G-$invariant Borel probability measures on X, by $M^e(X, G)$ the set of all ergodic measures on X, respectively.

Let $C(X)$ be the set of all real valued continuous functions on X. Define norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on $C(X)$ by $\|f\|_\infty = \sup\limits_{x\in X} |f(x)|$. The actions of G on points will usually be expressed by the concatenation $(s, x) \to sx$. For a map $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Sym}(d)$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, denote $\sigma_s(a)$ for $s \in G$ and $a \in [d]$ simply by sa when convenient. A continuous action α of G on (X, ρ) induces an action on $C(X)$ as follows: for $g \in C(X)$ and $s \in G$, the function $\alpha_s(g)$ is given by $x \to g(s^{-1}x)$. For $Y \subset X$, denote by C_Y the set of covers of Y, by C_Y^o the set of open covers of Y(Open sets in C_Y^o are with respect to X.), and by $\mathcal{P}_X$ the set of all finite Borel partitions of X. Let $\mathcal{U} \in C_X^o$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\mathcal{U}^d = \{U_{i_1} \times U_{i_1} \times \cdots \times U_{i_d} : U_{i_j} \in \mathcal{U}, j = 1, \cdots, d\}$. For two given covers $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in C_X, \mathcal{U}$ is said to be finer than $\mathcal{V}$ (denoted by $\mathcal{U} \succcurlyeq \mathcal{V}$ or $\mathcal{V} \preccurlyeq \mathcal{U}$) if each element of $\mathcal{U}$ is contained in some element of $\mathcal{V}$. For $\mathcal{U} \in C_X$ and $\emptyset \neq Y \subset X$, we set $N(\mathcal{U}, Y)$ to be the minimal cardinality of sub-families of $\mathcal{U}$ covering Y. Set $N(\mathcal{U}, \emptyset) = 0$ by convention.

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ and $\delta > 0$. Let σ be a map from G to $\mathrm{Sym}(d)$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.1. [11][12][13]*Define*

$$Map^{\rho}(F, \delta, \sigma) = \left\{ \varphi : [d] \to X : \max_{s \in F} \rho_2(\varphi \circ \sigma_s, \alpha_s \circ \varphi) < \delta \right\}, \text{ where}$$

$$\rho_2(\varphi, \psi) = \left(\frac{1}{d} \sum_{a=1}^{d} (\rho(\varphi(a), \psi(a)))^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

There is another compatible metric on X^d by

$$\rho_\infty(\varphi, \psi) = \max_{a \in [d]} \rho(\varphi(a), \psi(a)).$$

Lemma 2.1. [21] *Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be two compatible metrics on X and $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$. Then for each $\delta_2 > 0$ there exists $\delta_2 \geq \delta_1 > 0$ such that*

$$Map^{\rho_1}(F, \delta_1, \sigma) \subset Map^{\rho_2}(F, \delta_2, \sigma)$$

for each map $\sigma : G \to Sym(d)$ with some $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.2. [11][12] *Let $L \in \mathcal{F}(C(X))$ and $\mu \in M(X)$. Set*

$$Map^{\rho}_{\mu}(L, F, \delta, \sigma) = \left\{ \varphi \in Map^{\rho}(F, \delta, \sigma) : \max_{f \in L} \left| \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} f(\varphi(j)) - \mu(f) \right| < \delta \right\}.$$

Definition 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{U} \in C_X^o$ and $f \in C(X)$. Set*

$$N^{\rho}(\sigma, F, \delta, \mathcal{U}, f) = \inf\{\sum_{U \in \beta} \sup_{\varphi \in U \cap Map^{\rho}(F,\delta,\sigma)} \exp(\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a))) : \beta \in C_{Map^{\rho}(F,\delta,\sigma)} \text{ and } \beta \succcurlyeq \mathcal{U}^d\},$$

$$P^{\rho}(F, \delta, \mathcal{U}, f) = \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log N^{\rho}(\sigma_i, F, \delta, \mathcal{U}, f),$$

$$P(\mathcal{U}, f) = \inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}(G)} \inf_{\delta > 0} P^{\rho}(F, \delta, \mathcal{U}, f),$$

$$P(X, f) = \sup_{\mathcal{U} \in C_X^o} P(\mathcal{U}, f).$$

Remark 2.1. (i) *By Lemma 2.1, we have that* $P(\mathcal{U}, f)$ *does not depend on the compatible metric on* X*. We call it local sofic pressure with respect to* $\mathcal{U}$ *and* f*.*
(ii) *Simplify* $Map^{\rho}(F, \delta, \sigma)$ *as* $Map(F, \delta, \sigma)$*, write* $N(\sigma_i, F, \delta, \mathcal{U}, f)$ *instead of* $N^{\rho}(\sigma_i, F, \delta, \mathcal{U}, f)$*, and abridge* $P^{\rho}(F, \delta, \mathcal{U}, f)$ *to* $P(F, \delta, \mathcal{U}, f)$*, although these quantities depend on the compatible metric* ρ*.*

Definition 2.4. *Let* $\mathcal{U} \in C_X^o$ *and* $f \in C(X)$*. Set*

$$N^*(\sigma, F, \delta, \mathcal{U}, f) = \inf\{\sum_{U\in\beta} \sup_{\varphi\in U\cap Map(F,\delta,\sigma)} \exp(\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a))) : \beta \in C^o_{Map(F,\delta,\sigma)} \text{ and } \beta \succcurlyeq \mathcal{U}^d\},$$

$$P^*(F, \delta, \mathcal{U}, f) = \limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log N^*(\sigma_i, F, \delta, \mathcal{U}, f),$$
$$P^*(\mathcal{U}, f) = \inf_{F\in\mathcal{F}(G)} \inf_{\delta>0} P^*(F, \delta, \mathcal{U}, f).$$

Remark 2.2. (i) $\varphi : [d] \to X$ *can be seen as a point* $(\varphi(1), \cdots, \varphi(d)) \in X^d$ (See [21]).
(ii) *Combining the variational principle in* [4] *and the variational principle in this study, we can obtain that* $P(X, f)$ *coincides with the sofic pressure in* [4].
(iii) $P(\mathcal{U}, 0) = h(G, \mathcal{U})$*, where the local entropy* $h(G, \mathcal{U})$ *is defined in* [21].
(iv) *It is obvious that* $P^*(\mathcal{U}, f) \geq P(\mathcal{U}, f)$*. We will prove the opposite inequality by the proof of the variational principle.*

Definition 2.5. [21] *Let* $\mathcal{U} \in C_X^o$*. Define* $h_\mu(\mathcal{U})$ *to be the* μ*-measure-theoretic entropy of* $\mathcal{U}$ *as follows:*

$$h^{\rho}_{\mu}(L, F, \delta, \mathcal{U}) = \limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \log N(\mathcal{U}^{d_i}, Map^{\rho}_{\mu}(L, F, \delta, \sigma_i)),$$

$$h_\mu(\mathcal{U}) = \inf_{L\in\mathcal{F}(C(X))} \inf_{F\in\mathcal{F}(G)} \inf_{\delta>0} h^{\rho}_{\mu}(L, F, \delta, \mathcal{U}).$$

Remark 2.3. (i) $h_\mu(\mathcal{U})$ *does not depend on the choice of compatible metric in* X*.*
(ii) *Abbreviate* $Map_\mu(L, F, \delta, \sigma)$ *to* $Map^{\rho}_{\mu}(L, F, \delta, \sigma)$*, and write* $h_\mu(L, F, \delta, \mathcal{U})$ *instead of* $h^{\rho}_{\mu}(L, F, \delta, \mathcal{U})$ *for convenience, though the two quantities depend on the compatible metric in* X*.*

3 Variational principle for sofic local pressure

We give two lemmas to state our main result.

Lemma 3.1. *If* $\mathcal{U} \in C_X^o, f \in C(X)$*, then there exists* $\mu \in M(X, G)$ *such that* $P^*(\mathcal{U}, f) \leq h_\mu(\mathcal{U}) + \mu(f)$*.*

Proof. Take a sequence $e \in F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots$ with $F_i \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ for all i and $\bigcup_i F_i = G$. Since (X, ρ) is a compact metric space, there exists a sequence $\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ that is dense in $C(X)$. Set $L_n = \{f, g_1, \cdots, g_n\}$. There exists $Q > 0$ such that $\max\limits_{g \in L_n} \|g\|_\infty \le Q$.

Choose $\delta_n > 0$ such that
(i) $\delta_n < \min\left\{\frac{1}{12Q|F_n|}, \frac{1}{9n}\right\}$;
(ii) $\max\limits_{g \in L_n} |g(x) - g(y)| < \frac{1}{6n}$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $\rho(x, y) < \sqrt{\delta_n}$.

We will find some $\mu_n \in M(X)$ such that
(i) $h_{\mu_n}(L_n, F_n, \frac{1}{3n}, \mathcal{U}) + \mu_n(f) + \frac{10}{9n} \ge P^*(F_n, \delta_n, \mathcal{U}, f)$;
(ii) $\max\limits_{g \in L_n} \max\limits_{t \in F_n} |\mu_n(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g)) - \mu_n(g)| < \frac{1}{n}$.

By weak* compactness there exists a finite subset $D \subset M(X)$ such that for any map $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Sym}(d)$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\varphi \in Map(F_n, \delta_n, \sigma)$ there is a $\mu_\varphi \in D$ such that $\max\limits_{g \in L_n} \max\limits_{t \in F_n} |\mu_\varphi(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g)) - (\varphi_* \zeta)(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g))| < \delta_n$, where $(\varphi_*\zeta)(h) = \frac{1}{d}\sum\limits_{a=1}^{d} h(\varphi(a))$ for all $h \in C(X)$.

For each $\varphi \in Map(F_n, \delta_n, \sigma)$, set $\Lambda_\varphi = \left\{a \in [d] : \max\limits_{t \in F_n} \rho(\varphi(ta), t\varphi(a)) < \sqrt{\delta_n}\right\}$. Then $|\Lambda_\varphi| \ge (1 - |F_n|\delta_n)d$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{g \in L_n} \max_{t \in F_n} |(\varphi_*\zeta)(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g)) - ((\varphi \circ \sigma_t)_*\zeta)(g)| \\
&\le \max_{g \in L_n} \max_{t \in F_n} \frac{1}{d}\left|\sum_{a \in \Lambda_\varphi} (g(t\varphi(a)) - g(\varphi(ta)))\right| + \frac{1}{d}\left|\sum_{a \notin \Lambda_\varphi} (g(t\varphi(a)) - g(\varphi(ta)))\right| \\
&\le \frac{1}{d}|\Lambda_\varphi| \cdot \frac{1}{6n} + \frac{1}{d} 2Q|F_n|\delta_n d \\
&\le \frac{1}{6n} + \frac{1}{6n} = \frac{1}{3n},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mu_\varphi(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g)) - \mu_\varphi(g)| \\
&\le |\mu_\varphi(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g)) - (\varphi_*\zeta)(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g))| + |(\varphi_*\zeta)(g) - \mu_\varphi(g)| + \\
&|(\varphi_*\zeta)(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g)) - ((\varphi \circ \sigma_t)_*\zeta)(g)| \\
&\le \frac{1}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now for any map $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Sym}(d)$ with some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, for $\xi \in D$, define

$$W_\xi(L_n, F_n, \delta_n, \sigma) = \{\varphi \in Map(F_n, \delta_n, \sigma) : \mu_\varphi = \xi\}.$$

Then

(i) $W_{\xi}(L_n, F_n, \delta_n, \sigma) \subset Map_{\xi}(L_n, F_n, \frac{1}{3n}, \sigma)$,
(ii) $\bigcup\limits_{\xi \in D} W_{\xi}(L_n, F_n, \delta_n, \sigma) = Map(F_n, \delta_n, \sigma)$.

Enumerate the measures in D as follows:

$$D = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_{|D|}\}.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\beta_i = \beta(\xi_i, \epsilon) \in C^o_{W_{\xi_i}(L_n,F_n,\delta_n,\sigma)}$ and $\beta_i \succcurlyeq \mathcal{U}^d$ such that

$$\inf\{\sum_{U\in\beta} \sup_{\varphi\in U\cap W_{\xi_i}(L_n,F_n,\delta_n,\sigma)} \exp(\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a))) : \beta \in C^o_{W_{\xi_i}(L_n,F_n,\delta_n,\sigma)} \text{and } \beta \succcurlyeq \mathcal{U}^d\}$$
$$\geq \sum_{U\in\beta_i} \sup_{\varphi\in U\cap W_{\xi_i}(L_n,F_n,\delta_n,\sigma)} \exp(\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a)))e^{-\epsilon}.$$

Simplify $W_{\xi_i}(L_n, F_n, \delta_n, \sigma)$ as W_i.

Set

$$\beta_i^* = \left\{ V \cap (X^d \backslash \bigcup_{k:\overline{W}_k\cap\overline{W}_i=\emptyset} \overline{W}_k) : V \in \beta_i \right\}$$

Then $\beta_i^* \in C^o_{W_i}$ and

$$\sum_{U\in\beta_i} \sup_{\varphi\in U\cap W_i} \exp(\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a))) \geq \sum_{U\in\beta_i^*} \sup_{\varphi\in U\cap W_i} \exp(\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a))).$$

For any $V^{i*} \in \beta_i^*$, if $\sup\limits_{\varphi\in Map(F_n,\delta_n,\sigma)\cap V^{i*}} \sum\limits_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a)) > \sup\limits_{\varphi\in W_k\cap V^{i*}} \sum\limits_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a))$, then there exists $k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, |D|\}$ such that $\sup\limits_{\varphi\in Map(F_n,\delta_n,\sigma)\cap V^{i*}} \sum\limits_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a)) = \sup\limits_{\varphi\in W_k\cap V^{i*}} \sum\limits_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a))$ with $\overline{W_k} \cap \overline{W_i} \neq \emptyset$. Choose $\varphi^* \in \overline{W}_k \cap \overline{W}_i$, there exist two sequences $\{\varphi_j^k\} \subset W_k$ and $\{\varphi_j^i\} \subset W_i$ such that $\varphi_j^k \to \varphi^*, \varphi_j^i \to \varphi^*$ as $j \to \infty$. Choose sufficiently large j such that $\rho_\infty(\varphi_j^k, \varphi^*) < \sqrt{\delta_n}$ and $\rho_\infty(\varphi_j^i, \varphi^*) < \sqrt{\delta_n}$. By the definition of supremum, there exist $\varphi_1 \in V^{i*} \cap W_k$ and $\varphi_2 \in V^{i*} \cap W_i$ such that

(i) $|\sup\limits_{\varphi\in V^{i*}\cap W_k} \sum\limits_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a)) - \sum\limits_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi_1(a))| < \frac{d}{9n}$, and

(ii) $|\sup\limits_{\varphi\in V^{i*}\cap W_i} \sum\limits_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a)) - \sum\limits_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi_2(a))| < \frac{d}{9n}$.

Since $\varphi_1, \varphi_j^k \in W_k$ and $\varphi_2, \varphi_j^i \in W_i$, the following hold:

(iii) $|\sum\limits_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi_1(a)) - d\xi_k(f)| < d\delta_n$,

(iv) $|\sum\limits_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi_j^k(a)) - d\xi_k(f)| < d\delta_n$,

(v) $|\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi_2(a)) - d\xi_i(f)| < d\delta_n$, and

(vi) $|\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi_j^i(a)) - d\xi_i(f)| < d\delta_n$.

Since $\rho_\infty(\varphi_j^k, \varphi^*) < \sqrt{\delta_n}$ and $\rho_\infty(\varphi_j^i, \varphi^*) < \sqrt{\delta_n}$, we have

(vii) $|\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi_j^i(a)) - \sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi^*(a))| < \frac{d}{6n}$, and

(viii) $|\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi_j^k(a)) - \sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi^*(a))| < \frac{d}{6n}$.

Combining (i)-(viii) and trigonometrical inequalities, we have

$$|\sup_{\varphi \in Map(F_n,\delta_n,\sigma)\cap V^{i*}} \sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a)) - \sup_{\varphi \in W_i \cap V^{i*}} \sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a))| < \frac{d}{n}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & N^*(\sigma, F_n, \delta_n, \mathcal{U}, f) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{|D|} \sum_{U \in \beta_i^*} \sup_{\varphi \in U \cap W_i} \exp(\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a)) + \frac{d}{n}). \end{aligned}$$

There exists $\nu = \nu_\sigma \in D$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & N^*(\sigma, F_n, \delta_n, \mathcal{U}, f) \\ & \leq |D| \sum_{U \in \beta(\nu,\epsilon)} \sup_{\varphi \in U \cap W_\nu(L_n, F_n, \delta_n, \sigma)} \exp(\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a)) + \frac{d}{n}) \\ & \leq |D| \inf\{\sum_{U \in \beta} \sup_{\varphi \in U \cap W_\nu(L_n, F_n, \delta_n, \sigma)} \exp(\sum_{a=1}^{d} f(\varphi(a)) + \frac{d}{n}) : \beta \in C^o_{W_\nu(L_n, F_n, \delta_n, \sigma)} \text{ and } \beta \succcurlyeq \mathcal{U}^d\} e^\epsilon \\ & \leq |D| \exp(\nu(f)d + \delta_n d + \frac{d}{n}) \inf\{\sum_{U \in \beta} 1 : \beta \in C^o_{W_\nu(L_n, F_n, \delta_n, \sigma)} \text{ and } \beta \succcurlyeq \mathcal{U}^d\} e^\epsilon \\ & \leq |D| \exp(\nu(f)d + \delta_n d + \frac{d}{n}) N(\mathcal{U}^d, Map_\nu(L_n, F_n, \frac{1}{3n}, \sigma)) e^\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Letting σ run through the terms of the sofic approximation sequence Σ, by the pigeonhole principle there exists $\mu_n \in D$ and a sequence $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$ such that the following hold:

(i) $P^*(F_n, \delta_n, \mathcal{U}, f) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_{i_k}} \log N^*(\sigma_{i_k}, F_n, \delta_n, \mathcal{U}, f)$;

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{d_{i_k}} \log N^*(\sigma_{i_k}, F_n, \delta_n, \mathcal{U}, f) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{d_{i_k}} \log(|D| \exp(\mu_n(f) d_{i_k} + \delta_n d_{i_k} + \frac{d_{i_k}}{n}) N(\mathcal{U}^{d_{i_k}}, Map_{\mu_n}(L_n, F_n, \frac{1}{3n}, \sigma_{i_k})) e^\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
(iii) $\max\limits_{t \in F_n, g \in L_n} |\mu_n(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g)) - \mu_n(g)| < \frac{1}{n}$.
Then $h_{\mu_n}(L_n, F_n, \frac{1}{3n}, \mathcal{U}) + \mu_n(f) + \frac{10}{9n} \geq P^*(F_n, \delta_n, \mathcal{U}, f)$.

Let μ be a weak* limit point of the sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. If $t \in G$ and $g \in \{g_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&|\mu(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g)) - \mu(g)|\\ &\leq |\mu(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g))) - \mu_n(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g))| + |\mu_n(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(g)) - \mu_n(g)| + |\mu_n(g) - \mu(g)|.\end{aligned}$$

Since the infimum of the right hand side over all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is 0 and $\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is dense in $C(X)$, we deduce that μ is G-invariant.

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(G), L \in \mathcal{F}(C(X))$ and $\delta > 0$. Take an integer n such that $F \subset F_n, \frac{1}{3n} \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$, $\max\limits_{g \in L \cup \{f\}} |\mu_n(g) - \mu(g)| < \frac{\delta}{6}$ and for any $g \in L$, there exists $g' \in L_n$ such that $\|g - g'\|_\infty \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$. Then for any map σ from G to $\mathrm{Sym}(d)$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi \in Map_{\mu_n}(L_n, F_n, \frac{1}{3n}, \sigma)$ and $g \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|(\varphi_*\zeta)(g) - \mu(g)|\\ &\leq |(\varphi_*\zeta)(g) - (\varphi_*\zeta)(g')| + |(\varphi_*\zeta)(g') - \mu_n(g')| + |\mu_n(g') - \mu_n(g)| + |\mu_n(g) - \mu(g)|\\ &< \frac{3\delta}{4} + \frac{1}{3n} \leq \delta,\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\varphi \in Map_\mu(L, F, \delta, \sigma)$. Thus $Map_{\mu_n}(L_n, F_n, \frac{1}{3n}, \sigma) \subset Map_\mu(L, F, \delta, \sigma)$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}&h_\mu(L, F, \delta, \mathcal{U}) + \mu(f)\\ &\geq h_{\mu_n}(L_n, F_n, \frac{1}{3n}, \mathcal{U}) + \mu_n(f) - \frac{\delta}{6}\\ &\geq P^*(F_n, \delta_n, \mathcal{U}, f) - \frac{10}{9n} - \frac{\delta}{6}\\ &\geq P^*(\mathcal{U}, f) - \delta.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $P^*(\mathcal{U}, f) \leq h_\mu(\mathcal{U}) + \mu(f)$.

Lemma 3.2. *If* $\mathcal{U} \in C_X^o, f \in C(X)$, *then* $P(\mathcal{U}, f) \geq \max\limits_{\mu \in M(X,G)} \{h_\mu(\mathcal{U}) + \mu(f)\}$.

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, and $\delta > 0$. Set $L_1 = \{f\}$. There exists $\beta \in C_{Map(F, \frac{\delta}{2}, \sigma_i)}$ and $\beta \succcurlyeq \mathcal{U}^{d_i}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&N(\sigma_i, F, \frac{\delta}{2}, \mathcal{U}, f)\exp(\frac{\delta}{2}) \\
&\geq \sum_{U\in\beta} \sup_{\varphi\in U\cap Map(F,\frac{\delta}{2},\sigma_i)} \exp(\sum_{a=1}^{d_i} f(\varphi(a))) \\
&\geq \sum_{U\in\beta} \sup_{\varphi\in U\cap Map_\mu(L_1,F,\frac{\delta}{2},\sigma_i)} \exp(\sum_{a=1}^{d_i} f(\varphi(a))) \\
&\geq N(\mathcal{U}^{d_i}, Map_\mu(L_1, F, \frac{\delta}{2}, \sigma_i))\exp(d_i\mu(f) - d_i\frac{\delta}{2}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$P(F, \frac{\delta}{2}, \mathcal{U}, f) \geq h_\mu(L_1, F, \frac{\delta}{2}, \mathcal{U}) + \mu(f) - \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

$$P(F, \frac{\delta}{2}, \mathcal{U}, f) + \frac{\delta}{2} \geq h_\mu(\mathcal{U}) + \mu(f).$$

Letting $\delta \to 0$, we have

$$P(\mathcal{U}, f) \geq h_\mu(\mathcal{U}) + \mu(f).$$

Theorem 3.1. (variational priciple) *If* $\mathcal{U} \in C_X^o, f \in C(X)$, *then* $P(\mathcal{U}, f) = P^*(\mathcal{U}, f) = \max_{\mu\in M(X,G)} \{h_\mu(\mathcal{U}) + \mu(f)\}$.

The following corollary can be obtained directly from the variational principle.

Corollary 3.1. *If* $\mathcal{U} \in C_X^o, \mu \in M(X, G)$, *then* $h_\mu(\mathcal{U}) \leq \inf_{f\in C(X)} \{P(\mathcal{U}, f) - \mu(f)\}$.

4 Properties of local sofic pressure

In this section, we are to compare local sofic pressure with classical counterparts in the setting of the group being amenable and to study properties of local sofic pressure. This section makes full use of variational principles.

A group G is said to be amenable if for all $\epsilon > 0$ and all $K \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ such that

$$\frac{|F\Delta KF|}{|F|} < \epsilon.$$

A countable group is amenable if and only if there is a sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(G)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|F_n\Delta KF_n|}{|F_n|} = 0,$$

for all $K \in \mathcal{F}(G)$. Such a sequence is called a Fϕlner sequence of G.

Now, we give the definition of local amenable pressure which is a special case in [14].

Let (X, G) be a system, where G is a countable infinite amenable group. For $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in C_X$, set $\mathcal{U} \bigvee \mathcal{V} = \{U \cap V : U \in \mathcal{U}, V \in \mathcal{V}\}$. Given $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ and $\mathcal{U} \in C_X$, set $\mathcal{U}_F = \bigvee_{g \in F} g^{-1}\mathcal{U}$.

Let $\nu \in M(X)$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_X$. Set $H_\nu(\alpha) = -\sum\limits_{A \in \alpha} \nu(A) \log \nu(A)$, and $H_\nu(\mathcal{V}) = \inf\limits_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_X, \alpha \succcurlyeq \mathcal{V}} H_\nu(\alpha)$.

For $E \in \mathcal{F}(G), \mathcal{U} \in C_X^o$ and $f \in C(X)$, define

$$P_E^a(\mathcal{U}, f) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \sup_{x \in V} e^{\sum\limits_{g \in E} f \circ g(x)} : \mathcal{V} \in C_X \text{ and } \mathcal{V} \succcurlyeq \mathcal{U}_E \right\}.$$

The existence of the following limits are due to Ornstein and Weiss [16].

$$P^a(\mathcal{U}, f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log P_{F_n}^a(\mathcal{U}, f),$$

where $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Fϕlner sequence.
Let $\mathcal{U} \in C_X^o$ and $\mu \in M(X, G)$. Set

$$h_\mu^a(\mathcal{U}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} H_\mu(\mathcal{U}_{F_n})$$

where $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Fϕlner sequence.

Theorem 4.1. *If G is a countable amenable group. Let $\mathcal{U} \in C_X^o, f \in C(X)$, then $P(\mathcal{U}, f) = P^a(\mathcal{U}, f)$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathcal{U}, f) &= \sup_{\mu \in M(X,G)} \{h_\mu(\mathcal{U}) + \mu(f)\} \\ &= \sup_{\mu \in M(X,G)} \left\{h_\mu^a(\mathcal{U}) + \mu(f)\right\} \\ &= P^a(\mathcal{U}, f). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality depends on the fact that $h_\mu^a(\mathcal{U}) = h_\mu(\mathcal{U})$ (see [21]). The third equality relies on the variational principle in [14].

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{U} \in C_X^o$. If $f, g \in C(X), s \in G$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then the following hold:*

(i) $P(\mathcal{U}, f + c) = P(\mathcal{U}, f) + c$,

(ii) $P(\mathcal{U}, f + g) \leq P(\mathcal{U}, f) + P(\mathcal{U}, g)$,

(iii) *If $f \leq g$, then $P(\mathcal{U}, f) \leq P(\mathcal{U}, g)$, in particular, $h(G, \mathcal{U}) + \min f \leq P(\mathcal{U}, f) \leq h(G, \mathcal{U}) + \max f$, and $P(\mathcal{U}, f) \leq P(\mathcal{U}, |f|)$,*

(iv) $P(\mathcal{U},\cdot)$ *is either finite valued or constantly* $\pm\infty$,

(v) *If* $P(\mathcal{U},\cdot)\neq\pm\infty$, *then* $\|P(\mathcal{U},f)-P(\mathcal{U},g)\|\leq\|f-g\|_\infty$,

(vi) $P(\mathcal{U},f+g\circ\alpha_s-g)=P(\mathcal{U},f)$,

(vii) *Assume that* $P(\mathcal{U},\cdot)\neq-\infty, P(\mathcal{U},cf)\leq c\cdot P(\mathcal{U},f)$ *if* $c\geq 1$ *and* $P(\mathcal{U},cf)\geq c\cdot P(\mathcal{U},f)$ *if* $c\leq 1$.

(viii) $P(\mathcal{U},\cdot)$ *is convex.*

Proof. (i), (ii), (iii), (vi), (vii) and (viii) can be obtained from variational principle (Theorem 3.1) easily. (iv) are from (iii), and (v) are from (i) and (iii).
Recall that a finite signed measure on X is a map $\mu:\mathcal{B}(X)\to\mathbb{R}$ which is countably additive, where $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is the σ-algebra of Borel subsets of X. (See [20]P$_{221}$)

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that* $h(G,\mathcal{U})\neq\pm\infty$. *Let* $\mu:\mathcal{B}(X)\to\mathbb{R}$ *be a finite signed measure. If* $\mu(f)\leq P(\mathcal{U},f)$ *for all* $f\in C(X)$, *then* $\mu\in M(X,G)$.

Proof. Firstly, show μ takes only non-negative values. Suppose $f\geq 0$. If $\kappa>0$ and $n>0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mu(n(f+\kappa))\\&=-\mu(-n(f+\kappa))\geq -P(\mathcal{U},-n(f+\kappa))\\&\geq-(h(G,\mathcal{U})+\max(-n(f+\kappa)))\\&=-h(G,\mathcal{U})+n\min(f+\kappa)\\&>0\text{ for large }n.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu(f+\kappa)>0$ and hence $\mu(f)\geq 0$ as desired.

Secondly, show $\mu(X)=1$. If $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ then $\mu(n)\leq P(\mathcal{U},n)=h(G,\mathcal{U})+n$, so that $\mu(X)\leq 1+\frac{h(G,\mathcal{U})}{n}$ if $n>0$ and hence $\mu(X)\leq 1$, and $\mu(X)\geq 1+\frac{h(G,\mathcal{U})}{n}$ if $n<0$ and hence $\mu(X)\geq 1$. Therefore $\mu(X)=1$.

Thirdly, we show $\mu\in M(X,G)$. Let $s\in G, n\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $f\in C(X).n\mu(f\circ\alpha_s-f)\leq P(\mathcal{U},n(f\circ\alpha_s-f))=h(G,\mathcal{U})$. If $n>0$ then dividing both sides by n and letting n go to ∞ yields $\mu(f\circ\alpha_s-f)\leq 0$, and if $n<0$ then dividing both sides by n and letting n go to $-\infty$ yields $\mu(f\circ\alpha_s-f)\geq 0$. Therefore $\mu(f\circ\alpha_s)=\mu(f)$, for any $f\in C(X), s\in G$. Thus $\mu\in M(X,G)$.

Now, we end this paper with the following questions:

(i) Let $\mathcal{U}\in C_X^o$, and $\mu\in M(X,G)$. Let $\mu=\int_{M^e(X,G)}m\,d\tau(m)$ be the ergodic decomposition of μ. Do we have $h_\mu(\mathcal{U})=\int_{M^e(X,G)}h_m(\mathcal{U})d\tau(m)$?

(ii) Does Theorem 4.2 still hold without the hypothesis $h(G,\mathcal{U})\neq\pm\infty$?

(iii) Does the opposite inequality of Corollary 3.1 hold?

In fact, If (i) is true, then it is easy to prove that (iii) holds.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Prof. Hanfeng Li for some comments. The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10971100) and National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (2007CB814800).

References

[1] L. Bowen, Measure conjugacy invariants for actions of countable sofic groups, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **23** (2010), no. 1, 217-245.

[2] L. Bowen, Sofic entropy and amenable groups, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys., to appear.

[3] R. Bowen, Topological entropy for non-compact set, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **184** (1973), 125-136.

[4] N. Chung, The variational principle of topological pressure for actions of sofic groups. arXiv: 1110.0699v1.

[5] E. Glasner & B. Weiss, On the interplay between measurable and topological dynamics, in Handbook of dynamical systems, Vol. 1B, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, (2006) 597-648.

[6] T. Goodman, Topological entropy bounds measure-theoretic entropy, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **23** (1969), 679-688.

[7] L. Goodwyn, Relating topological entropy and measure entropy, Bull. London Math. Soc., **3** (1971), 176-180.

[8] W. Huang & X. Ye, A local variational relation and applications, Israel J. Math., **151** (2006), 237-279.

[9] W. Huang, X. Ye & G. Zhang, Local entropy theory for a countable discrete amenable group action, J. Funct. Anal., **261**(2011), 1028-1082.

[10] W. Huang & Y. Yi, A Local variational principle of pressure and its applications to equilibrium states, Israel J. Math., **161** (2007), 29-74.

[11] D. Kerr & H. Li, Entropy and the variational principle for actions of sofic groups, Invent. Math., **186** (2011), 501–558.

[12] D. Kerr & H. Li, Soficity, amenability, and dynamical entropy, Amer. J. Math., to appear.

[13] H. Li, Sofic mean dimension, arXiv: 1105.0140v1.

[14] B. Liang & K. Yan, Topological pressure for sub-additive potentials of amenable group actions, J. Func. Anal., **262** (2012), 584-601.

[15] M. Misiurewicz, A short proof of the variational principle for a $\mathbb{Z}_+^n$ action on a compact space, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sr. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys., **24** (1976), 1069-1075.

[16] D. Ornstein & B. Weiss, Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups, J. Anal. Math., **48** (1987), 1-141.

[17] Y. Pesin & B. Pitskel, Topological pressure and the variational principle for non-compact sets, Func. Anal. Appl., **18** (1984), 307-318.

[18] P. Romagnoli, A local variational principle for the topological entropy, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys., **23** (2003), 1601-1610.

[19] P. Walter, A variational principle for the pressure of continuous transformations, Amer. J. Math., **97** (1975), 937-971.

[20] P. Walters, An Introduction to Ergodic Theory, Springer, New York, 1982.

[21] G. Zhang, Local variational principle concering entropy of a sofic group action, J. Func. Anal., to appear.